The relationship between Venice and Japan in the nineteenth century

In 1873, only seven years after the Friendship and Trade Treaty (Trattato di Amicizia e Commercio) between Italy and Japan, Venice became the seat of the Japanese General Consulate, thanks to its important position on the Adriatic Sea: after the grand opening of the Suez Canal in 1869, its harbor was considered strategic for relationships with Eastern countries. In 1894, the physical contacts between Venice and Japan were simplified by the new monthly steamship service from Venice to Bombay. Connections from Bombay to Ceylon, China, Australia and Japan were then assured by British cargo-boat services.

As Motoaki Ishii already demonstrated, in the attempt to boost the growth of the town as a commercial centre, Count Alessandro Fe’ d’Ostiani, plenipotential ambassador in Japan from 1871 to 1877, advised the Japanese Foreign Affairs Minister to install the General Consulate in Venice.

Consul Nakayama Jōji arrived at the Guiccioli palace on 4th March 1873 with Miwa Sukeichi. In May, the Consul was bestowed with the royal exequatur by the Italian King and received the diplomatic mission led by Iwakura Tomomi. With this international mission, the Japanese aimed to officialise the relationships with the visited nations, abolish the unfavorable clauses of the bilateral agreements with the Western countries and, at the same time, learn what might be useful for the modernisation of Japan, from industrial production to legal system. In Venice, Iwakura visited the Ducal Palace, Saint Mark’s Basilica, the State Archive, and the glassmakers of Murano.

Even before the arrival of the Iwakura Mission, the Consul received other delegates of the Japanese government, such as Kawamura, the second Minister of the Japanese Navy, Akamatsu, the General Director of the same Ministry and some noblemen, including Fujiwara, Matsumata, Ishikawa, Narushima and Ono.

In 1873, the presence of the Consulate encouraged the creation of the first Japanese language course in the Reale Scuola Superiore per il Commercio (Royal Advanced School for Commerce). The School was founded in 1868, in the hope that Venice would assume a leading role in the Adriatic Sea, and Arabic, Greek and Persian languages were taught. Thanks to the proposal of Count Fé d’Ostiani a new Japanese language course commenced, with the help of mother tongue teachers.

The interest in Japanese culture was ever increasing in 1881: at the Esposizione Internazionale Geografica (International Geographical Exposition), a section, coordinated by Guglielmo Berchet, was dedicated to Japan. The objects shown never returned to Japan, but were given to Italian museums.

Moreover, the presence of Japanese artists, like Naganuma Moriyoshi and Kawamura Kiyo, at the Accademia di Belle Arti (Fine Art School) in Venice in the second half of the nineteenth century, showed how the town attracted Japanese interest.
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Fig. 1 Adolfo Farsari, Henry and Adelgunde in Japan, 1889, albumin photograph; Venice, Museo d’Arte Orientale.
The penetration of Japanese culture was, however, still relatively slow when, in 1889, 1,500 crates with oriental artworks started to arrive in Venice. Their owner was His Royal Highness Henry of Bourbon, Count of Bardi, who bought more than 30,000 objects during a two-year journey in East Asia (fig. 1). His tour has already been well described by Fiorella Spadavecchia: Henry, his wife Adelgunde and their entourage visited South East Asia, China and Japan, where they bought byōbu, kakemono, prints, books, lacquerware objects, weapons and textiles from the best antiquarians and curio shops, largely belonging to the most important families, like Tokugawa, Asai, Datē, Toyotomi, Tachibana and many others.

Henry decided to send the crates, which were stored in Trieste, to his Venetian residence, Vendramin Calergi Palace. Every week for several months, the Lloyd steamboats from Trieste carried the precious wares. With the help of his servant Rossi and the antiquarians Antonio and Giambattista Carrer, Henry set up his huge collection (fig. 2). During the year of 1890, he was completely absorbed with unpacking and stayed in Venice for several months. In 1891, 247 crates were still in Trieste. Adelgunde was very worried about the economic situation of the family because her husband had spent nearly all their assets during the journey. She wrote:

"Je ne pourrai pas aller à Vienne ou à Brombach quand il faudra y penser 10 fois avant de faire acheter des serviettes de toilettes qu’il faut absolument à Venise et qu’il y aura les milles petites misères parce qu’il n’y a pas le soin dans la maison. Tout cela pour le plaisir de savoir qu’il y a des belles étoffes dans les caisses de l’antichambre de Venise, qu’on ne pourra pas même faire monter, parce que le tapissier couterait trop cher. Ou pour le plaisir d’avoir tant de pots verts ou bleus au second étage du Vendramin”.

Thanks to historical photographs, we know how the collection was displayed in the fourteen rooms on the second floor of Vendramin Calergi: a private museum that was open to Henry’s relatives and friends who came to stay in Venice and, if requested, to the interested public (figs. 3, 4).

The Bourbon’s collection included high quality pieces: some of them were precious presents from Japanese public figures and personalities, or purchases made following the advice of Japanese and European experts, like Professor Kichise, the antiquarian Beretta, Heinrich von Siebold and others. The latter was a sort of broker, and unbeknownst to Henry, asked a high commission from the sellers: for this reason, Henry paid a much higher price for the objects.

Henry’s collection was different from other contemporary European collections. The latter often mirrored a Western taste, nourished by the Japanese export policy of traditional artworks – above all textiles – decided by the Meiji government to support the econ-
omy and face what was perceived as a Western attempt of economic colonisation. The practice of selling old artwork, especially from the Edo period, was only partially restrained by the intellectual debate that started during the 1880s in Japan, about the importance of cataloguing national properties and preserving them from indiscriminate exportation. Only in 1897 a the preservation law for temples and ancient sanctuaries was enacted,\(^\text{19}\) thanks also to the engagement of Ernest Fenellosa and the intervention of Okakura Kakuzō\(^\text{20}\) who, since 1884, had convinced the government to record and control its national treasures.

The first wave of japonisme in Europe influenced impressionists, post-impressionists, Nabis and symbolists. In the second half of the nineteenth century, the effects of the industrial revolution pushed many artists and designers to look for new inspiration in an idealised vision of the past and of Asian countries. When Japan, under the pressure of the United States, opened its borders after a voluntary isolation of over two hundred years,\(^\text{21}\) this country – again accessible to Westerners – was perceived as innocent but also sophisticated.\(^\text{22}\) Initially, Japanese art attracted artists and experts, becoming an instrument for the artistic revolution of the avant-garde. Thanks to books and articles in magazines and journals, the interest in Japan gradually reached a much wider public. These publications described art works, techniques, decorative patterns, symbolic meanings and general information about a country that was still unknown to the majority of Europeans and for this reason even more charming. As a result, japoneries, bought in fashionable shops like the famous Farmer & Rogers’ Oriental Warehouse, which opened in London in 1862, the elegant Parisian shops La Porte Chinoise and L’Art Nouveau, or in galleries like Maison Bing,\(^\text{23}\) started to crowd the houses of the wealthy.

Moreover, the presence of Japan at the Universal Exposition of Paris (1867), Vienna (1873), London (1974), Philadelphia (1976), again in Paris (1889) and Amsterdam (1893) brought Japan closer in the collective imagination.

**The Venice Biennale of 1897 and the perception of Japanese art and culture in Italy**

Even if, according to Elio Zorzi,\(^\text{24}\) Henry opened his house to those who wanted to see his collection, we can easily imagine the strong impact that the Japanese section had on the visitors at the International Art Exposition in Venice, the Biennale, in 1897. It was the first Italian exposition to house a section dedicated to Japanese art, followed by the Turin Decorative Modern Art International Exposition in 1902\(^\text{25}\) and by the double Universal Exposition in Rome and Turin in 1911.\(^\text{26}\)

A certain number of travellers’ reports appeared at the end of the nineteenth century allowing the Italian public to acquire some knowledge about Japan\(^\text{27}\) but, for a long time, the country was imagined as an innocent and fabulous land, and the interest in Japanese art had an ephemeral and elitist connotation. Adelgunde herself read a book about Japan on her voyage from Hong Kong to Nagasaki, but wrote to her sister-in-law that she had lost any curiosity in Japan, after having heard so much about it:\(^\text{28}\) for the upper class it was a fashionable destination. This perception of Japan as an exotic rarity and a status symbol for the elite was echoed in literary production, such as the ar-
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*Fig. 4 Henry of Bourbon’s collection in Ca’ Vendramin Calergi, 1907 ca.; Venice, Museo d’Arte Orientale.*
ticles29 and novels30 by Gabriele D’Annunzio. Some artists were receptive of an artistic language coming from Japan, based on stimulating formal innovations.31 However, the delay in the dissemination of Japanese art in Italy, mainly through a few antiquarians and aristocratic travelers like Henry of Bourbon, is perceivable also in the Italian criticism, limited to the articles published in Illustrazione Italiana, and Emporium and to the voices of Ugo Ojetti and Vittorio Pica. Pica knew Japanese art in France, thanks to his French colleague Edmond de Goncourt.32 For Pica, who in 1894 published L’arte dell’Estremo Oriente,33 as well as his peers, Japanese art was above all prints and applied art.34

Pompeo Molmenti commented in Gazzetta di Venezia on 15th January 1896:

“chi conosca appena, o ignori, le floride e bizzarre fantasie di quell’arte, la sua vena decorativa, l’estro fecondo che al candor di uno spirito primitivo sa unire le più sottili trovate di una civiltà raffinatiamente matura, non tarderà a persuadersi del fascino ch’essa potrà esercitare”.35

From these few lines we learn that Japanese art was appreciated mainly for its decorative aspects.36

Thanks to Antonio Fradeletto, the rules of the Venice Biennale were changed to allow decorative and ancient artworks37 to be exhibited among contemporary paintings. At first, the Biennale committee contemplated the possibility of putting on a Japanese historical exhibition, but the Nihon bijutsu kyōkai (Japanese Artistic Society) would have sent copies of artworks rather than originals: the Japanese Government was becoming increasingly aware of the importance of its artistic heritage and the need to protect it.38 Therefore, the committee asked Ernst Seeger, in Berlin, and Alessandro Fè d’Ostiani for some historical pieces. No artwork came from the Bourbon collection.39

The reason should be sought in the difficult relationship the Prince probably had with Venice’s municipal administration. Elio Zorzi and Filippo Pedrocchi speculate — though no written evidence has been found in Venetian archives — that Henry wished to display his collection in a public space in the Biennale garden, but an agreement was not reached and Henry terminated his relationship with the administration.

Among the modern pieces at the Biennale, 42 out of the 94 Japanese objects were sold. Some of them were bought by Venetian hotels, some others by the Japanese ambassador in Rome. Henry himself bought two silver and bronze sculptures, a wooden sculpture and a porcelain vase.41

After the Venetian Biennale and the critical contributions of Ojetti and Luxoro, Pica’s analysis showed a deeper understanding of the complexity of Japanese aesthetics, investigating also sculpture, religion and other cultural aspects. Times were changing and Japanese art was becoming a topic for research, not only an exclusive collectable interest of the upper classes.42

The dispersal of Henry’s collection and its role in the collecting taste for “things Japanese” in Europe

In 1905, when Henry died, Adelgunde contacted Justus Brinckmann, Hara Shinkichi43 and another Japanese scholar — the identity of whom we don’t know — to prepare the collection’s catalogue.44 The work was interrupted when she, who for years had been attributing to the satanès bibelots the economic ruin of the family, transferred the collection to the Viennese firm Trau, for one million francs and left Venice. After Brinckmann resumed work on the catalogue (that he never completed), the antiquarian Antonio Carrer wrote to the Ministry of Public Education requesting that they acquire the collection.45 Gino Fogolari, the superintendent of Venice, who had hoped that Henry’s widow might give the collection to the Italian State, wrote to congressman Antonio Fradeletto, to Margherita Sarfatti and to Ugo Ojetti in 1908 to solicit a parliamentary point of order for a special law and the issue of a special lottery to support the purchase.46 The collection was valued at 1.8 million lire, which was beyond the financial reach of the Ministry.47

In the meantime, Sigmund Singer and Franz Trau were selling the collection (fig. 5).48 Some important lots went to European museums of industrial and decorative arts, such as the Museum für Kunst und Gewerbe49 and the Museum für Völkerkunde in Ham-
burg, the Übersee-Museum in Bremen, the Kunsthindustrie Museum in Bergen and Dresden. In Italy the only museums that bought objects from the collection were the Museo Correr in Venice and the Re- gio Museo Nazionale Preistorico Etnografico (Royal National Museum of Prehistory and Ethnography) in Rome, which received a grant of 20,000 lire from the Italian State. Luigi Pigorini went to Venice to appraise and choose the objects. Over 500 pieces were given to Vienna, to Trau’s firm and to Au Mikado, the important emporium of art and oriental products that managed the sale of Siebold’s collection in 1909.

Fogolari asked Corrado Ricci, the General Director of Antichità e Belle Arti (Antiquities and Fine Arts) of the Ministry of National Education, to send some guidelines about the exportation of the collection: the fine art export office of Venice continued to receive export requests and Italian law did not have the foresight to block artwork considered as foreign and modern. As a lucid and far-seeing intellectual, Fogolari understood the importance of such objects in Venice.

At the beginning of the twentieth century, Venice was the most internationally sought-after destination. Novels by Proust, James and Mann, made the town even more attractive – an inevitable stage for a new literary Grand Tour. The “nordic obsession” brought to the city writers such as Ezra Pound, Rainer Maria Rilke, and Robert Browning, who stayed in Venice around 1908, as did the painters Odilon Redon, Paul Signac, John Singer Sargent and Gustav Klimt. The town was an international stage, a favorite resort for European high society, for the Secessionist artists as well as for the theosophical and esoteric societies. Robert de Montesquieu, Ernesta Star, Maurice Barrès, Hugo von Hofmannsthal, were all attracted by the decadent atmosphere of the town and by the new touristic Lido.

It is not surprising to read in Trau’s sales notebooks (fig. 6) important names like Freeborn F. Raymond from Boston, who bought nearly 250 pieces, or Stoclet, the art sellers from Bruxelles. The names of some buyers are recorded several times between 1908 and 1914: those who discovered Trau’s mine of artwork and curiosities often returned again and again.

While Henry wanted to recreate a sort of catalogue of Eastern culture in his house and a real museum with display cases, like a tardive Wunderkammer, Trau’s customers probably had other reasons to own Japanese objects. Prince Borghese, for example, bought one single netsuke a common habit among the upper classes to showcase their privileged status by owning something exotic, like the noblemen who took porcelain and other decorative pieces to furnish their living rooms.

Nevertheless, artists recognised the revolutionary impact of Japanese art and its innovative force. Among them, Italico Brass, Konstantin Somov, Bridget Keir and Paul Vera from Paris are recorded in Trau’s customers’ books. Some of them were interested in the development of applied arts and crafts, even to improve industrial work, like Sigismund Oeder, founder of the Industrie and Gewerbeausstellung in Düsseldorf. In Venice, Michelangelo Guggenheim, another of Trau’s customers who bought above all textiles, was a collector and a producer of artistic furniture. The Scuola Veneta d’Arte Applicata all’Industria (the Venetian School for Decorative Art Ap-
plied to Industry) bought books, bronzes and Chinese jewels.\footnote{74}

On the other hand, the painter Gustavo Sforni considered Japanese painting a pure art and useful to discover our origins. While in Venice to visit the Bien-nale, he bought some \textit{kakejiku} at Trau’s.\footnote{75} He wrote in his Diary:

\begin{quote}
“I Giapponesi hanno scoperto la vita, le abitudini, le passioni e i movimenti di certi animali, le parti del volo degli uccelli”.
\end{quote}

Mariano Fortuny, with his wife Henriette, bought prints, textiles, lacquerware, as well as Chinese and Japanese furniture.\footnote{77} Their interest in Chinese and Japanese objects, in the dying and weaving technique, is evident in the printing technique they adopted for their creations, clearly derived from the \textit{katagami} method.\footnote{78} Japanese textiles in the collection sparked the interest of firms that imported silk, made furniture and fabrics and decorative objects, like Jesurum in Venice,\footnote{79} Iklé in Saint Gallen,\footnote{80} Economo in Trieste,\footnote{81} and Portier in Paris. André Portier bought 43 Siamese manuscripts in March 1914, and returned in May to acquire a huge quantity of dresses, paintings, weapons, masks, furniture, \textit{byōbu}, lacquerware, porcelain, and musical instruments: 1060 pieces in total.\footnote{82}

The art critic Margherita Sarfatti bought prints, books and a \textit{kakejiku}.\footnote{83} Other writers who purchased from Trau included Elsa Sophia Kamphövene (her husband, the collector and doctor Ernst Marquardsen, is recorded too),\footnote{84} Arthur Trebtisch and the American poet George Cooper.

Among the directors and dancers we can underline the presence of Gordon Craig\footnote{85} and Isadora Duncan. Having seen the “tragic dancer” Sada Yacco in Paris in 1900, and visited the Musée Guimet in the same year, Isadora became interested in Japanese art.\footnote{86} On 19th August 1909, she bought two kimonos and a small amber elephant\footnote{87} and had them sent to the new Hotel Excelsior on the Lido, recently built by Sardi and furnished by Fortuny. Some days later, her companion Paris Singer bought from Trau’s some other pieces to be sent to his sister Winnaretta’s Palazzo Polignac.\footnote{88} Some kimonos, bought by Ascoli, were sent to Campo San Fantin, for the Teatro la Fenice, the Venetian opera house.\footnote{89}

In the pages of Trau’s sale book, we find the names of important noblemen like the princes Montebello,\footnote{90} Paolo Borghese,\footnote{91} Max Lichnowsky,\footnote{92} princesses Eleonora Massimo Brancaccio,\footnote{93} Starhemberg,\footnote{94} counts Balvo Valier,\footnote{95} Hirschel,\footnote{96} Pa-padopuli,\footnote{97} Brandolin,\footnote{98} Giustinian,\footnote{99} Lázló Széchenyi, for his new castle in Oermezo, Hungary;\footnote{100} countesses Paola De Blaas,\footnote{101} Felicia Skarbek,\footnote{102} Sormani Moretti,\footnote{103} Hoyos,\footnote{104} Sordin,\footnote{105} barons Tossizza,\footnote{106} Rossi,\footnote{107} Von Plessen,\footnote{108} Economo de San Serff\footnote{109} and Scaramanga of Trieste.\footnote{110} Countess Coudenhove-Kalergi, the daughter of Kihachi Aoyama, an antiques and oil dealer in Tokyo, was in Venice in June 1914
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and bought 3 kimonos and one obi.\textsuperscript{112}

People who could not miss visiting Vendramin Calergi were Madame Hériot, who bought 103 pieces for her villa in Cap-Martin on the Côte d’Azur,\textsuperscript{113} and Count Primoli, who had a passion for oriental art and kakemono.\textsuperscript{114} He had already been a customer of the Parisian oriental warehouses, like Aux deux Orientaux, and was linked to Edmond de Goncourt, faithful friend of his beloved aunt Matilde Bonaparte. In 1886, a correspondent of the Tribuna newspaper wrote about his palace:

“Sul rosso stanno sparpagliati mille ventagli di Yokohama, sulle pareti gli oggetti più curiosi: un fukusa ricamato d’oro circonda un piatto ispano-moresco, un pezzo di velluto veneziano è fermato dalla sciabola di un samurai”.\textsuperscript{115}

Afflicted by a Japonant disease (as Sandra Pinto wrote),\textsuperscript{116} Count Primoli also created some European kakemono, written and signed by his friends.

In Trau’s notebook we find the names of the collectors Lisa Guthertz-Ditmar;\textsuperscript{117} Philippe Berthelot;\textsuperscript{118} Bertha Potter-Palmer;\textsuperscript{119} a lover of impressionism; the paper producer Gerhard Schoeller;\textsuperscript{120} the extravagant Californian Franck Colton Havens;\textsuperscript{121} the Romanian banker Alexandru Ottulescu; the rich Salem from Trieste;\textsuperscript{122} and Bernard Sancholle Henraux,\textsuperscript{123} supporter of the Fine Art school in Serravezza.

The above-mentioned rich people stayed in hotels recently restored in Venice, like Danieli and the nearby Café Orientale, or in the new hotels on the Lido, like De Bains or Excelsior built by leaders in contemporary architecture such as Marsich, Sardi and Sullam.\textsuperscript{124} If the latter corresponds to the buyer with the same name recorded in Trau’s book,\textsuperscript{125} his familiarity with Japanese linearism can be seen as an element of renewal in the international architectural liberty style.

This long list of names mirrors the role of the Bourbon’s oriental treasure in the creation of a collecting taste at the beginning of the twentieth century not only in Venice, but also across Europe. At the same time, the presence of Japanese wares in Venice also influenced artistic production. The Ca’ Pesaro painters, for example, were not free from this fascination, even if they did not buy anything: it is evident in the painting “Two women” (private collection) by Gino Rossi, son of Stanislao,\textsuperscript{126} who was Henry’s servant, and Guido Cadorin, who portrayed Alice Alhaique in her kimono in 1914.\textsuperscript{127}

The last name recorded in Trau’s list is the Marchioness Luisa Casati Stampa,\textsuperscript{128} friend of Gabriele D’Annunzio and eccentric muse of the futurists. In her beautiful Palazzo Venier dei Leoni, Japanese objects cannot be missed.

The birth of the Museum of Oriental Art in Venice

The sale of the collection was interrupted by the First World War. Franz Trau was Austrian and therefore an enemy subject. His properties in Italy were seized. The collection, reduced to nearly 20,000 pieces, was confiscated as compensation for the damages undergone by the Italian State during the war. To support the costs of the requisition and the occupation of Vendramin Calergi Palace during the war, the Italian State sold some of the artwork. These last buyers were the Carrer antiquarians, Gabriele D’Annunzio for his Vittoriale on Lake Garda;\textsuperscript{130} Mariano Fortuny,\textsuperscript{131} who, after the war, opened the new factory on Giudecca island together with Stucky; and Italico Brass,\textsuperscript{132} who had been buying pieces since 1908, and created “una delle gallerie private più interessanti del mondo intero” (one of the most interesting private galleries in the entire world) in the Scuola Vecchia dell’Abbazia della Misericordia (Old School of the Abbey of Mercy) in Venice in 1918.\textsuperscript{134}
tional Museum of Oriental Art in Italy. From 3rd May 1928, the collection was no longer the personal dream of an eccentric nobleman: it became public heritage.

The displaying criterion of the new museum was “polysyndeton”: everything was shown and the accumulation of the objects created a beautiful, suggestive scene. Two long rows of armours and spears (yari and naginata) welcomed visitors by the side of a wooden staircase; a wide, half-lit room preserved the secrets of Buddhist priests in the room of religion (fig. 7), the gold-painted of Buddhist priests in the room of religion (fig. 7), a wide, half-lit room preserved the secrets of Buddhist priests in the room of religion (fig. 7), the gold-painted
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Summary

In 1889, 30,000 Chinese, Indonesian and above all Japanese objects were collected in Vendramin Calergi Palace in Venice. Their owner was Prince Henry of Bourbon, who had just come back from a long journey in Asia. The desire to own and capture the spirit of local cultures through their products and artworks became a sort of obsession for Henry. When he died in 1905, his wife passed the collection to the Austrian dealer Trau, who sold nearly 10,000 objects from 1907 to 1914. The purchasers were not only members of the international high society but also many European museums.

After the First World War, Trau’s heritage was confiscated and the collection became a State Museum. It was opened to the public in 1928 in Ca’ Pesaro, Venice: the first director was Eugenio Barbantini, who chose a very suggestive setting in order to astound the public.

In 1937 and 1942 some objects with a predominant anthropological interest were transferred to Padua University while the museum adapted the rooms to the new conservation criteria, creating a storage to recover the most delicate artworks and transforming the structure.

In 2016 a new historic building in Venice has been chosen by the government to house the museum. The architectural project and the new display of the collection will respond to the modern needs of enhancement and conservation, helping the Western public to approach a world that, despite fast-developing mass media networks and global travel, is still widely perceived as exotic and mysterious.
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