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A generalized linear model (GLM) is a regression model of the form

\[ E(Y|X) = G(X^T \beta), \]

where \( Y \) is the dependent variable, \( X \) is a vector of explanatory variables, \( \beta \) an unknown parameter vector and \( G(\bullet) \) a known link function. The generalized partial linear model (GPLM) extends the GLM by a nonparametric component:

\[ E(Y|X, T) = G\{X^T \beta + m(T)\}. \]

In the following we describe how to use the XploRe \texttt{gplm} quantlib for estimating generalized partial linear models. The \texttt{gplm} quantlib is highly related to the \texttt{glm} quantlib for GLM in XploRe. Names of routines and the functionality in both quantlibs correspond to each other. It is recommended to start reading with the GLM tutorial (Härdle, Klinke, and Müller 2000, Chapter 7). Parts of the features which are also available in GLM are not explained in detail here.

1 Estimating GPLMs

As mentioned above, a GPLM has the form

\[ E(Y|X, T) = G\{X^T \beta + m(T)\}, \]

where \( E(Y|X, T) \) denotes the expected value of the dependent variable \( Y \) given \( X, T \) which are vectors of explanatory variables. The index \( X^T \beta + m(T) \) is linked to the dependent variable \( Y \) via a known function \( G(\bullet) \) which is called the link function in analogy to generalized linear models (GLM). The parameter vector \( \beta \) and the function \( m(\bullet) \) need to be estimated. Typically, generalized partial linear models are considered for \( Y \) from an exponential family. We therefore assume for the variance \( \text{Var}(Y|X, T) = \sigma^2 V[G(X^T \beta + m(T))] \), i.e. a dependence on the index \( X^T \beta + m(T) \) and on a dispersion parameter \( \sigma^2 \).
1.1 Models

It is easy to see that GPLM covers a range of semiparametric models, as for example:

- **Partial linear regression**
  The model \( Y = X^T \beta + m(T) + \varepsilon \) with \( \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \) implies \( E(Y|X,T) = G(X^T \beta + m(T)) \) and \( \text{Var}(Y|X,T) = \sigma^2 \). This gives a GPLM with identity link function \( G(\bullet) = \bullet \) and variance function \( V(\bullet) = 1 \).

- **Generalized additive model (GAM) with linear and nonparametric component**
  This is commonly written as \( E(Y|X,T) = G(c + X^T \beta + f(T)) \) where \( Ef(T) = 0 \) is assumed. By defining \( m(t) = c + f(t) \) we arrive at the above GPLM.

1.2 Semiparametric Likelihood

The estimation methods for the GPLM are based on the idea that an estimate \( \hat{\beta} \) can be found for known \( m(\bullet) \), and an estimate \( \hat{m}(\bullet) \) can be found for known \( \beta \). The gplm quantlib implements **profile likelihood** estimation and **backfitting**. Details on the estimation procedure can be found in Hastie and Tibshirani (1990), Severini and Staniswalis (1994), Härdle, Mammen and Müller (1998), Müller (1997).

The default numerical algorithm for likelihood maximization is the Newton-Raphson iteration. Optionally, a Fisher scoring can be chosen.

**Profile Likelihood** Demand by \( L(\mu, y) \) the individual log-likelihood or (if the distribution of \( Y \) does not belong to an exponential family) quasi-likelihood function

\[
L(\mu, y) = \int_{\mu}^{y} \frac{(s - y)}{V(s)} ds.
\]

The **profile likelihood** method considered in Severini and Wong (1992) and Severini and Staniswalis (1994) is based on the fact, that the conditional distribution of \( Y \) given \( X \) and \( T \) is parametric. The essential method for estimation is to fix the parameter \( \beta \) and to estimate the least favorable nonparametric
function in dependence of this fixed $\beta$. The resulting estimate for $m_{\beta}(\bullet)$ is then used to construct the profile likelihood for $\beta$.

Suppose, we have observations $\{y_i, x_i, t_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Denote the individual log- or quasi-likelihood in $y_i$ by

$$\ell_i(\eta) = \log \{G(\eta), y_i\}.$$ 

In the following, $\ell'_i$ and $\ell''_i$ denote the derivatives of $\ell_i(\eta)$ with respect to $\eta$. Abbreviate now $m_j = m_{\beta}(t_j)$ and define $S''$ the smoother matrix with elements

$$S''_{ij} = \frac{\ell''_i(x_i^T \beta + m_j)K_H(t_i - t_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ell''_i(x_i^T \beta + m_j)K_H(t_i - t_j)}$$

and let $X$ be the design matrix with rows $x_i^T$. Denote further by $I$ the identity matrix, by $v$ the vector and by $W$ the diagonal matrix containing the first ($\ell'_i$) and second ($\ell''_i$) derivatives of $\ell_i(x_i^T \beta + m_i)$, respectively.

The Newton-Raphson estimation algorithm (see Severini and Staniswalis 1994) is then as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile Likelihood Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>updating step for $\beta$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta^{new} = (\bar{X}^T W \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T W \bar{z}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{X} = (I - S'') X$,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{z} = \bar{X} \beta - W^{-1} v$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>updating step for $m_j$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_j^{new} = m_j - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \ell'<em>i(x_i^T \beta + m_j)K_H(t_i - t_j)}{\sum</em>{i=1}^n \ell''_i(x_i^T \beta + m_j)K_H(t_i - t_j)}$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variable $\bar{z}$ is a sort of adjusted dependent variable. From the formula for
\( \beta^{new} \) it becomes clear, that the parametric part of the model is updated by a parametric method (with a nonparametrically modified design matrix \( X \)).

Alternatively, the functions \( \ell'_i \) can be replaced by their expectations (w.r.t. to \( y_i \)) to obtain a Fisher scoring type procedure.

**Generalized Speckman Estimator** The profile likelihood estimator is particularly easy to derive in case of a model with identity link and normally distributed \( y_i \). Here, \( \ell'_i = y_i - x_i^T \beta - m_j \) and \( \ell''_i \equiv -1 \). The latter yields the smoother matrix \( S \) with elements

\[
S_{ij} = \frac{K(t_i - t_j)}{\sum_{t_i} K(t_i - t_j)}.
\]  

(2)

Moreover, the update for \( m_j \) simplifies to

\[
m^{new} = S(y - X \beta)
\]

using the vector notation \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)^T \), \( m^{new} = (m_1^{new}, \ldots, m_n^{new})^T \). The parametric component is determined by

\[
\beta^{new} = (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \bar{y}
\]

with \( \bar{X} = (I - S)X \) and \( \bar{y} = (I - S)y \). These estimators for the partial linear model were proposed by Speckman (1988).

Recall that each iteration step of a GLM is a weighted least squares regression on an adjusted dependent variable (McCullagh and Nelder 1989). Hence, in the partial linear model the weighted least squares regression could be replaced by an partial linear fit on the adjusted dependent variable

\[
z = X \beta + m - W^{-1} v.
\]  

(3)

Again, denote \( v \) a vector and \( W \) a diagonal matrix containing the first \( \ell'_i \) and second \( \ell''_i \) derivatives of \( \ell_i(x_i^T \beta + m_i) \), respectively. Then, the Newton-Raphson type Speckman estimator (see Müller 1997) for the GPLM can be written as:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generalized Speckman Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>updating step for $\beta$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta^\text{new} = (\bar{X}^T W \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T W \bar{z}$,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- <strong>updating step for $m$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m^\text{new} = S(z - X \beta)$,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using the notations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{X} = (I - S)X$,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{z} = (I - S)z = \bar{X} \beta - W^{-1} v$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The basic simplification of this approach consists in using the smoothing matrix $S$ with elements

$$S_{ij} = \frac{\ell_i''(x_i^T \beta + m_i) K_H(t_i - t_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i''(x_i^T \beta + m_i) K_H(t_i - t_j)} \quad (4)$$

instead of the matrix $S''$ from (1). As before, a Fisher scoring type procedure is obtained by replacing $\ell_i''$ by their expectations.

**Backfitting** The backfitting method was suggested as an iterative algorithm to fit an additive model (Hastie and Tibshirani 1990). The key idea is to regress the additive components separately on partial residuals. The ordinary partial linear model (with identity link function)

$$E(Y|X,T) = X^T \beta + m(T)$$

is a special case, consisting of only two additive functions. Denote $P$ the projection matrix $P = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ and $S$ a smoother matrix. Abbreviate $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)^T = (m(t_1), \ldots, m(t_n))^T$. Then backfitting means to solve

$$X \beta = P(y - m)$$

$$m = S(y - X \beta).$$
For a GPLM, backfitting means now to perform an additive fit on the adjusted dependent variable \( z \) which was defined in (3), see Hastie and Tibshirani (1990). We use again the kernel smoother matrix \( S \) from (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backfitting Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• updating step for ( \beta )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ \beta^{new} = (X^T W \bar{X})^{-1} X^T W \bar{z}, ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• updating step for ( m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ m^{new} = S(z - X \beta), ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using the notations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{X} = (I - S)X, )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{z} = (I - S)z = \bar{X} \beta - W^{-1} v. )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As for profile likelihood and Speckman estimation, we obtain a Newton-Raphson or Fisher scoring type algorithm by using \( \ell''_i \) or \( E(\ell''_i) \), respectively.

2 Data Preparation

2.1 General

All estimation quantlets in the \texttt{gplm} quantlib have as input parameters:

\( x \)  
A \( n \times p \) matrix containing observations of explanatory variables for the linear part,

\( t \)  
A \( n \times q \) matrix containing observations of explanatory variables for the nonparametric part,

\( y \)  
A \( n \times 1 \) vector containing the observed responses.
There should be no vector of 1 concatenated to the matrix \( x \). A constant is contained automatically in the nonparametric estimate for \( m(\cdot) \). Neither the matrices \( x, t \) nor the vector \( y \) should contain missing values (NaN) or infinite values (Inf, -Inf).

### 2.2 Credit Scoring Example

In the following, we will use credit scoring data to illustrate the GPLM estimation. For details on the file kredit see Fahrmeir and Tutz (1994) or Fahrmeir and Hamerle (1984). We use a subsample on loans for cars and furniture, which has a sample size of \( n = 564 \) out of 1000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Y )</th>
<th>credit worthy</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No (in %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X_1 )</td>
<td>previous credits o.k.</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_2 )</td>
<td>employed</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>63.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( X_3 )</th>
<th>duration (months)</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 )</td>
<td>amount (DM)</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>15653</td>
<td>3200.00</td>
<td>2467.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_2 )</td>
<td>age (years)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>10.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for credit data.

Descriptive statistics for this subsample and a selection of covariates can be found in Table 1. The covariate **previous credit o.k.** indicates that previous loans were repaid without problems. The variable **employed** means that the person taking the loan has been employed by the same employer for at least one year.

The following XploRe code creates matrices \( x, t \) and \( y \)

```r
library("stats")
file=read("kredit")
file=paf(file,(file[,5]>1)&(file[,5]<=3))
          ; purpose=car/furniture
y=file[,1]
x=(file[,4]>2)          ; previous loans o.k.
x=x*(file[,8]>2)        ; employed (>=1 year)
```
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\[ x = x^\prime(\text{file}[3]) \] ; duration of loan
\[ t = \text{file}[6] \] ; amount of loan
\[ t = t^\prime(\text{file}[14]) \] ; age of client
\[ \text{xvar} = \text{"previous"|"employed"|"duration"} \]
\[ \text{tvar} = \text{"amount"|"age"} \]
\[ \text{summarize}(y \, x \cdot t, y \, x \cdot t \cdot \text{vars}) \]

and produces the summary statistics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Std.Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>previous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>employed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>duration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that in the following statistical analysis we took logarithms of amount and age and transformed these values linearily to the interval \([0, 1]\).

3 Computing GPLM Estimates

Currently six types of distributions are supported by the \text{gplm} quantlib: Binomial, Normal (Gaussian), Poisson, Gamma (includes Exponential), Inverse Gaussian and Negative Binomial (includes Geometric). Table 2 summarizes the models which are available.

The quantlet in the \text{gplm} quantlib which is mainly responsible for GPLM estimation is \text{gplmest}.

3.1 Estimation

\[ g = \text{gplmest}(\text{code}, x, t, y, h \{, \text{opt}\}) \]

estimates a GPLM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Model Code</th>
<th>Link Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>&quot;noid&quot;</td>
<td>identity link (canonical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;nopow&quot;</td>
<td>power link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binomial</td>
<td>&quot;bilo&quot;</td>
<td>Logistic link (Logit, canonical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;bipro&quot;</td>
<td>Gaussian link (Probit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;bicll&quot;</td>
<td>complementary log-log link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson</td>
<td>&quot;polog&quot;</td>
<td>logarithmic link (canonical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;popow&quot;</td>
<td>power link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>&quot;gac1&quot;</td>
<td>reciprocal link (canonical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;gapow&quot;</td>
<td>power link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inv. Gaussian</td>
<td>&quot;igcl&quot;</td>
<td>squared reciprocal link (canonical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;igpow&quot;</td>
<td>power link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neg. Binomial</td>
<td>&quot;nbcl&quot;</td>
<td>canonical link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;igpow&quot;</td>
<td>power link</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Supported models.

The quantlet gplmest provides a convenient way to estimate a GPLM. The standard call is quite simple, for example

\[ g = \text{gplmest}("bipro", x, t, y, h) \]

estimates a probit model (binomial with Gaussian cdf link). For gplmest the short code of the model (here "bipro") needs to be given, this is the same short code as for the glm quantlib. Additionally to the data, a bandwidth parameter \( h \) needs to be given (a vector corresponding to the dimension of \( t \) or just a scalar).

The result of the estimation is assigned to the variable \( g \) which is a list containing the following output:

\[ g.b \]
the estimated parameter vector

\[ g.bv \]
the estimated covariance of \( g.b \)

\[ g.m \]
the estimated nonparametric function
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g.stat
contains the statistics (see Section 5).

Recalling our credit scoring example from Subsection 2.2, the estimation—using a logit link—would be done as follows:

\[ t = \log(t) \quad ; \log \text{ of amount and age} \]
\[ \text{trange} = \max(t) - \min(t) \]
\[ t = (t - \min(t))/\text{trange} \quad ; \text{transformation to } [0,1] \]
library("gplm")

\[ h = 0.4 \]
\[ g = \text{gplmest("bilo",}x,t,y,h) \]
\[ g.b \]
\[ gplm02.xpl \]

Now we can inspect the estimated coefficients in g.b

Contents of b
[1,] 0.96516
[2,] 0.74628
[3,] -0.049835

A graphical output can be created by calling

\[ \text{gplmout("bilo","x,t,y,h,g.b,g.bv,g.m,g.stat")} \]
\[ gplmout02.xpl \]

for the current example (cf. Figure 1). For more features of gplmout see Subsections 4.7 and 5.2.

Optional parameters must be given to gplmest in a list of optional parameters. A detailed description of what is possible can be found in Section 4, which deals with the quantlet gplmopt. Set:

\[ \text{opt=gplmopt("meth",1,"shf",1)} \]
\[ \text{opt=gplmopt("xvars",}xvars,\text{opt)} \]
\[ \text{opt=gplmopt("tg",grid(0,0.05,0.05,21),opt)} \]
\[ gplmopt03.xpl \]

This will create a list opt of optional parameters. In the first call, opt is
created with the first component meth (estimation method) containing the value 1 (profile likelihood algorithm) and the second component shf (show iteration) set to 1 ("true"). In the second call, the variable names for the linear part of the model are appended to opt. Finally, a grid component tg (for the estimation of the nonparametric part) is defined.

We repeat the estimation with these settings:

```r
g=gplmest("bilo",x,t,y,h,opt)
```

This instruction now computes using profile likelihood algorithm (in contrast to the default Speckman algorithm used in example gplm02.xpl), shows the iteration in the output window and estimates the function m(●) on the grid tg. The output g contains one more element now:
the estimated nonparametric function on the grid

Since the nonparametric function $m(\bullet)$ is estimated on two-dimensional data, we can display a surface plot using the estimated function on the grid:

```
library("plot")
mg=setmask(sort(tg\ mg),"surface")
```

Figure 2 shows this surface together with a scatterplot of amount and age. The scatterplot shows that the big peak of $\hat{m}(\bullet)$ is caused by only a few observations. For the complete XploRe code of this example check the file gplm03.xpl.

![Scatterplot for amount and age](gplm03.xpl)

Figure 2: Scatterplot for amount and age (left). Estimate $\hat{m}$ (right).

The estimated coefficients are slightly different here, since we used the profile likelihood instead of the Speckman algorithm in this case. Figure 3 shows the output window for the second estimation.
3.2 Estimation in Expert Mode

```r
g = gplmcore(code, x, t, y, h, wx, wt, wc, b0, m0, off, ctrl1, upb, tg, m0g)
estimates a GPLM in expert mode
```

The `gplmcore` quantlet is the most inner “kernel” of the GPLM estimation. It does not provide optional parameters in the usual form of an option list as described in Section 4. Also, no check is done for erroneous input. Hence, this routine can be considered to use in expert mode. It speeds up computations and might be useful in simulations, pilot estimation for other procedures or Monte Carlo methods.

The following lines show how `gplmcore` could be used in our running example. Note that all data needs to be sorted by the first column of `t`.

```r
n=rows(x)
p=cols(x)
q=cols(t)

tmp=sort(t-y-x) ; sort data by first column of `t`
t=tmp[,1:q)]
y=tmp[,q+1)]
x=tmp[,q+2:cols(tmp)]

shf = 1 ; show iteration (1="true")
miter = 10 ; maximal number of iterations
cnv = 0.0001 ; convergence criterion
fscor = 0 ; Fisher scoring (1="true")
pow = 0 ; power for power link (if useful)
nbk = 1 ; k for neg. binomial (if useful)
meth = 0 ; algorithm ( -1 = backfitting,
           ; 0 = Speckman
           ; 1 = profile likelihood )
ctrl=shf|miter|cnv|fscor|pow|nbk|meth

wx = 1 ; prior or frequency weights
wt = 1 ; trimming weights for estimation of `b`
w = 1 ; weights for the convergence criterion
```
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off = 0 ; offset

l=glmcore("bilo","t"-matrix(n),y,wx,off,ctrl[1:6])
b0=1.b[1:p]
m0=1.b[p+q+1]+t*1.b[(p+1):(p+q)]
h=0.4|0.4

g=glmcore("bilo",x,t,y,h,wx,wt,wc,b0,m0,off,ctrl)

Optionally, glmcore can estimate the function \( m(\bullet) \) on a grid, if \( wg \) and \( m0g \) are given. In addition, glmcore can be also used to compute the biased parametric estimate which is needed for the specification test in Subsection 5.3. In this case the optional parameter \( upb \) should be set to 0 (default is 1).

4 Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>opt = glmopt (string1, value1, ...{, opt})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>creates a list of options for GPLM estimation or appends options to an existing list</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All options for the algorithm and optional parameters need to be collected in a list object. This allows just to set or to modify only those options which are necessary. All quantlets in the glm quantlib (except for glmcore) allow options. It is possible to give the same list of options to different routines. For example,

```
opt=glmopt("miter",20,"name","MyDisplay")
```

will set the maximal number of iterations to 20 and the name of the output display to MyDisplay. Option lists used for the glm quantlib can be used as well.

With the above option settings, one can call first glmest and then glpmout:

```
l=glmest("bilo",x,y,opt)
glpmout("bilo",x,y,opt)
```
Both quantlets only consider those optional parameters which are intended for them. Hence \texttt{gplmest} will only care about \texttt{miter} whereas \texttt{gplmout} will only use the parameter \texttt{name} to present a display named \texttt{MyDisplay}.

4.1 Setting Options

As for the \texttt{glm} quantlib, it is recommended to use \texttt{gplmopt} to set the options. \texttt{gplmopt} is used in the same way as \texttt{glmopt}. Essentially, the possible options in the \texttt{glm} quantlib are a superset of those in the \texttt{glm} quantlib. A list of options created with \texttt{glmopt} can hence be used or extended with \texttt{gplmopt}.

4.2 Grid and Starting Values

As shown in Subsection 3.1, it can be useful to estimate the nonparametric function \( m(\bullet) \) not only on the observations \( t \), but also on a grid \( tg \). The optional parameter is:

\[
tg \\
\text{grid values (on the same scale as } t)\]

This parameter can also be used to compute predictions for \( m(\bullet) \) on other values than those given in \( t \).

All presented algorithms for GPLM are iterative and require first an initialization step. Different strategies to initialize the iterative algorithm are possible:

- Start with \( \bar{\beta}, \bar{m}(\bullet) \) from a parametric (GLM) fit.
- Alternatively, start with \( \beta = 0 \) and \( m(t_j) = G^{-1}(y_j) \) (for example with the adjustment \( m_j = G^{-1}((y_j + 0.5)/2) \) for binary responses).
- Backfitting procedures often use \( \beta = 0 \) and \( m(t_j) \equiv G^{-1}(\bar{y}) \).

The \texttt{gplm} quantlib uses the first method by default. If a different method is to be used, the necessary starting values can be given as optional input:

\[
b0 \\
\text{initial values for the estimation of } b.\]
\( m^0 \)
initial values for the estimation of \( m \).

\( m^0 g \)
initial values for the estimation of \( mg \).

### 4.3 Weights and Offsets

The estimation quantlet gplmest is able to handle special cases as weights and constraints on parameters (fix parameters). Setting weights and offsets is done in the same way as in the glm quantlib. Please consult the corresponding subsections of the GLM tutorial (Härdle, Klinke, and Müller 2000, Chapter 7).

Weights and offsets can always be given as a optional parameter. The corresponding components of the list of optional parameters are:

- **weights**
  - type of weights, either "frequency" for replication counts or "prior" for prior weights in weighted regression.

- **wx**
  - weights, \( n \times 1 \) vector or scalar.

- **wt**
  - trimming weights for estimation of the linear part, \( n \times 1 \) vector or scalar.

- **wc**
  - weights to be used in the convergence criterion, \( n \times 1 \) vector or scalar.

- **wr**
  - weights to be used in the modified LR test statistics, \( n \times 1 \) vector or scalar.

- **off**
  - offset, \( n \times 1 \) vector or scalar.

None of these parameters should contains missing or infinity values. Defaults are weights="prior", \( w_x=1 \), \( w_t=1 \), \( w_c=1 \), \( w_r=1 \), and off=0.
4.4 Control Parameters

There is a number of control parameters which modify the used algorithm.

meth
method to be used for GPLM estimation: -1 for backfitting, 0 for generalized Speckman estimator and 1 for profile likelihood. The default value is meth=0 for the Speckman algorithm.

fscor
indicator for Fisher scoring (instead of Newton-Raphson optimization). fscor=1 means that the Fisher scoring is used. Default is fscor=0 for Newton-Raphson. This parameter is ignored for canonical link functions.

cnv
convergence criterion. The iteration stops when the relative change of the coefficients vector b, the estimated curve m and the deviance are less than cnv. Default is cnv=0.0001.

miter
maximal number of iterations. The iteration stops when this maximal number of iterations is reached. Default is miter=10.

noshort
noshort=0 forces not to sort the data by the first column of t (and tg, if the optional grid tg is given). Default is noshort=0, i.e., to sort.

The following parameter switches on/off information during the computation.

shf
shows how the iteration is going on, if shf=1 is set. Default is shf=0.

4.5 Model Parameters

These two parameters are only relevant for power link and negative binomial models, respectively:

pow
power for the power link function, default is pow=0 (logarithmic link).
nbk
parameter $k$ for the negative binomial distribution, the default is nbk=1
(geometric distribution).

4.6 Specification Test

The modified LR test implemented in gplmbootstrap test (see Subsection 5.3) allows the following options:

wr
weights to be used in the modified LR test statistics, $n \times 1$ vector or scalar. The default value is wr=1.

tdesign
design matrix (in $t$) for the GLM hypothesis, $n \times r$ matrix. The default design is matrix(n)^-t.

4.7 Output Modification

The gplmout routine which shows the output display provides some special possibilities to modify the output:

nopic
suppresses the output display if nopic=1. Default is nopic=0.

xvars
string vector, $p \times 1$, containing variable names for the columns of $x$.

name
single string, name for output and prefix for output displays from gplmout.

title
single string, title to be used in gplmout.
5 Statistical Evaluation and Presentation

5.1 Statistical Characteristics

\begin{verbatim}
    stat = gplmstat (code, x, t, y, h, b, bv, m, df{, opt})
    computes statistical characteristics for an estimated GPLM
\end{verbatim}

gplmstat provides a number of statistical characteristics of the estimated model in the output component stat. The quantlet gplmstat can be used to create the above mentioned statistics by hand. Suppose we have input \( x, y \) and have estimated the vector of coefficients \( b \) (with covariance \( \text{bv} \)) and the nonparametric curve \( m \) by model "nopow". Then the list of statistics can be found from

\begin{verbatim}
    stat=gplmstat("nopow",x,y,b,bv,m,df)
\end{verbatim}

Of course, an list of options \texttt{opt} can be added at the end. If options from \texttt{opt} have been used for the estimation, these should be included for \texttt{gplmstat}, too.

The following characteristics are contained in the output \texttt{stat}. This itself is a list and covers the components

\begin{verbatim}
    df
    approximate degrees of freedom according to Hastie and Tibshirani (1990).

    deviance
    the deviance of the estimated model.

    pearson
    the Pearson statistic.

    loglik
    the log-likelihood of the estimated model, using the estimated dispersion parameter.

    dispersion
    an estimate for the dispersion parameter \((\text{deviance}/\text{df})\).

    aic, bic
    Akaike's AIC and Schwarz' BIC criterion, respectively.
\end{verbatim}
\texttt{r2}, \texttt{adr2}  
the (pseudo) coefficient of determination and its adjusted version, respectively.

\texttt{it}  
the number of iterations needed.

\texttt{ret}  
the return code, which is 0 if everything went without problems, 1 if the maximal number of iterations was reached, and negative if missing values have been encountered.

Sometimes, one or the other statistic may not be available, when it was not applicable. This can always be checked by searching for the components in \texttt{stat}:

\texttt{name(stat)}

The quantlet \texttt{name} will report all components of the list \texttt{stat}.

5.2 Output Display

\begin{center}
\texttt{gplmout (code, x, t, y, h, b, bv, m, stat{, opt})}  
creates a nice output display for an estimated GPLM
\end{center}

An output display containing statistical characteristics and a plot of the fitted link function can be obtained by \texttt{gplmout}.

Recall our example from Section 3:

\begin{verbatim}
  opt=gplmopt(“meth”,1,”shf”,1)  
opt=gplmopt(“xvars”,xvars,opt)  
opt=gplmopt(“tg”,grid(0|0,0.05|0.05,21|21),opt)  
g=gplmest(“bilo”,x,t,y,h,opt)
\end{verbatim}
The optional component `xvars` will be used in the output display:

```r
gplmout("bilo",x,t,y,h,g,b,bv,g,m,g.stat,opt)
```

produces the output given in Figure 3.

---

---

**Figure 3:** GPLM output display.

The optional parameters that can be used to modify the result from `gplmout` can be found in Subsection 4.7.

### 5.3 Model selection

```r
g = gplmbootstraptest(code, x, t, y, h, nboot{, opt})
tests a GLM against the GPLM
```
To assess the estimated model it might be useful to check significance of single parameter values, or of linear combinations of parameters. To compare two different, nested models a sort of likelihood ratio (LR) test can be performed using the test statistic

\[ R = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(\hat{\mu}_i, y_i) - L(\bar{\mu}, y_i). \]  

Here we denote the GLM fit by \( \bar{\mu} \) and the GPLM fit by \( \hat{\mu} \). This approach corresponds fully to the parametric case, except that for the GPLM the approximate degrees of freedom have to be used. Please consult the corresponding subsections of the GLM tutorial (Härdle, Klinke, and Müller 2000, Chapter 7) for more information on the LR test.

A modified likelihood ratio test for testing \( H_0 : G(X^T \beta + T^T \gamma + c) \) (GLM) against \( H_1 : G(X^T \beta + m(T)) \) (GPLM) was introduced by Härdle, Mammen, and Müller (1998). They propose to use a “biased” parametric estimate \( \overline{m}(t) \) instead of \( t^T \gamma + c \) and the test statistic

\[ R^\mu = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(\hat{\mu}_i, \hat{\mu}_i) - L(\overline{\mu}, \hat{\mu}_i). \]  

Asymptotically, this test statistic is equivalent to

\[ \tilde{R}^\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \left\{ x_i^T (\hat{\beta} - \bar{\beta}) + \hat{m}(t_i) - \overline{m}(t_i) \right\}^2 \]  

and

\[ \tilde{R}^\mu_o = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \left\{ x_i^T (\hat{\beta} - \bar{\beta}) + \hat{m}(t_i) - \overline{m}(t_i) \right\}^2 \]  

with

\[ w_i = \frac{[G^T(x_i^T \hat{\beta} + \hat{m}(t_i))]^2}{V[G(x_i^T \beta + \hat{m}(t_i))]} \]

All three test statistics are asymptotically equivalent and have an asymptotic normal distribution. However, since the convergence to the limiting normal distribution is slow, it is recommended to determine the critical values of the test by bootstrap. The quantlet gplmbootstraptest performs this bootstrap test.
Let us continue with our credit scoring example and test whether the correct specification of the model is \( G(X^T \beta + T \gamma + c) \) or \( G(X^T \beta + m(T)) \). The following code computes first the GLM and applies the quantlet glmbootstrap test to estimate the GPLM and perform the bootstrap test.

```r
code = "library("glm") ; GLM estimation
n=rows(x)
opt=glmopt("xvars",xvars|tvars|"constant")
l=glmest("bilo",x t matrix(n),y,opt)
glmout("bilo",x t matrix(n), y, l.b, l.bv, l.stat, opt)

library("gplm") ; GPLM estimation and test
h=0.4
nboot=10
randomize(742742)
opt=gplmopt("meth",1,"shf",1,"xvars",xvars)
opt=gplmopt("wr",prod((abs(t-0.5) < 0.40*trange),2),opt)
g=glmbootstrap test("bilo",x,t,y,h,nboot,opt)
glmout("bilo",x,t,y,h,g.b,g.gv,g.m,g.stat,opt)"
glm05.xpl"
```

Note the optional weight vector \( w \) which defines weights for the test statistics. All observations outside a radius of 0.4 around the center of \( t \) are excluded. This is to ensure that the test result is not disturbed by outliers and boundary effects. Table 3 summarizes the coefficients from the output windows for the GLM (left column) and the GPLM (right column).

The obtained significance levels for the test (computed for all three test statistics \( R^\mu, R^\mu \) and \( R^\mu \)) can be found in the component alpha of the result g. Note that the approximations \( R^\mu \) and \( R^\mu \) (the latter in particular) may give bad results when the sample size \( n \) is small. If we run the test with random seed 742742 and nboot=250 we get:

```r
Contents of alpha
[1,] 0.035857
[2,] 0.035857
[3,] 0.043825
```

The hypothesis GLM can hence be rejected (at 5% level for \( R^\mu, R^\mu, R^\mu \)).

It is also possible to test more complicated GLMs against the GPLM. For
### Table 3: Coefficients from GLM (with and without interaction term) and GPLM, t-values in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
<th>Coeff.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>previous</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employed</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>duration</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amount</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>2.785</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interaction</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>-3.355</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For example, the nonlinear influence of **amount** and **age** could be caused by an interaction of these two variables. Consider now the GLM hypothesis $G(X^T\beta + T^T\gamma + \delta t_1: t_2 + c)$. The code for this test needs to define an optional design matrix $t_{\text{design}}$ which is used instead of the default $t_{\text{matrix}(n)}$ in the previous test. The essential changes are as follows:

```r
t_{\text{design}}=\text{tprod}(t,2)^\text{matrix}(n)
opt=gplmopt("t_{\text{design}},t_{\text{design}},opt)
g=gplmbootstraptest("bilo",x,t,y,h,nboot,opt)
```

The resulting coefficients for the GLM can be found in the middle column of Table 3. Performing the test with random seed 742742 and $n_{\text{boot}}=250$ yields:

```
Contents of alpha
[1,] 0.082
[2,] 0.056
[3,] 0.064
```

The hypothesis, that the correct specification is a GLM with interaction term, can hence be rejected as well (now at 10% level for $R^2$, $R^2_A$, $R^2_B$).

Note that `gplmbootstraptest` also prints a warning, if missing values occurred in the bootstrap procedure. In our last example we have:

24
Missing values are mostly due to numerical errors when the sample size is small or the dataset contains outliers.
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