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Abstract

Consider the semiparametric regression model $Y_i = X_i^T \beta + g(T_i) + \epsilon_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, n)$, where $(X_i, T_i)$ are known and fixed design points, $\beta$ is a $p$-dimensional unknown parameter, $g(\cdot)$ is an unknown function on $[0, 1]$, $\epsilon_i$ are i.i.d. random errors with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2$. In this paper we first construct bootstrap statistics $\hat{\beta}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2_n$ by resampling. Then we prove that, for the estimators $\beta_n$ and $\sigma^2_n$, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_n)$ and $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)$, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}^2_n - \sigma^2)$ and $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}^2_n - \sigma^2)$ have the same limit distributions, respectively. The advantage of the bootstrap approximation is explained. The feasibility of this approach we also show in a simulation study.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Consider the model given by

\[ Y_i = X_i^T \beta + g(T_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, \]

(1)

where \( X_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ip})^T \) \((p \geq 1)\) and \( T_i(T_i \in [0, 1]) \) are known design points, \( \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p)^T \) is an unknown parameter vector and \( g \) is an unknown function, and \( \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n \) are i.i.d. random variables with mean 0 and unknown variance \( \sigma^2 \).

This model is important because it can be used in applications where one can assume that the responses \( Y_i \) and predictors \( X_i \) is linear dependence, but nonlinearly related to the independent variables \( T_i \). Engle, et al. (1986) studied the effect of weather on electricity demand. Liang, Härdle and Werwatz (1997) used the model to investigate the relationship between income and age from German data. From the point of theory, this model generalizes the standard linear model, by restricts multivariate nonparametric regression which is subject to "Curse of Dimensionality" and is hard to interpret. Therefore, there is a lot of literature studied this model recently. Heckman (1986), Speckman (1988), Chen (1988) considered the asymptotic normality of estimators of \( \beta \) and \( \sigma^2 \). Later Cuzick (1992a, b) and Schick (1993) discussed asymptotic properties and asymptotic efficiency for these estimators. Liang and Cheng (1993) discussed the second order asymptotic efficiency of LS estimator and MLE of \( \beta \).

The technique of bootstrap is a useful tool for the approximation of an unknown probability distribution and therefore for its characteristics like moments or confidence regions. This approximation can be performed by different estimators of the true underlying distribution that should be well adapted to the special situation. In this paper we use the empirical distribution function which puts mass of \( 1/n \) at each residual in order to approximate the underlying error distribution (for more details see section 2). This classical bootstrap technique was introduced by B. Efron (for a review see e.g. Efron & Tibshirani, 1993). Note that for a heteroscedastic error structure a wild bootstrap procedure (see e.g. Wu, 1986 or Härdle & Mammen, 1993) would be more appropriate.

Hong and Cheng (1993) considered bootstrap approximation of the estimators for the parameters in the model (1) in the case where \( \{X_i, T_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\} \) are i.i.d. random variables and \( g(\cdot) \) is estimated by a kernel smoother. The authors proved that their bootstrap approximation is the same as the classic methods, but failed to explain the advantage of
the bootstrap method, which will be discussed in this paper. We will construct bootstrap statistics of $\beta$ and $\sigma^2$, and studies their asymptotic normality when $(X_i, T_i)$ are known design points and $g(\cdot)$ is estimated by general nonparametric fitting. Analytically as well as numerically we will show that the bootstrap techniques provide a reliable method to approximate the distributions of the estimates.

The effect of smoothing parameter is studied in a simulation study. Thereby it turns out that the estimators of the parametric part are quite robust against the choice of the smoothing parameter. More details can be found in section 3.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following we explain the basic idea for estimating the parameters and give the assumptions on the $X_i$ and $T_i$. Section 2 constructs bootstrap statistics of $\beta$ and $\sigma^2$. In section 3 we present a simulation study in order to complete the asymptotic results. In section 4 some lemmas required later are proven. Section 5 presents the proof of the main result. For the convenience and simplicity, we shall employ $C(0 < C < \infty)$ to denote some constant not depending on $n$ but may assume different values at each appearance.

Generally there are two methods, backfitting and local likelihood ones, to estimate the linear parameter. The asymptotic variance of the two estimates is the same. Here we adopt local likelihood method. Specifically, fix $\beta$ one estimates $g(\cdot)$ as a function of $\beta$ to obtain $\hat{g}(\beta)$, which is a nonparametric estimation problem. Then letting $g = \hat{g}(\beta)$, one estimates the parametric component, and this is a parametric problem. The detailed discussions can be also found in Severini and Staniswalis (1994).

To estimate $g$ for fixed $\beta$, let $\omega_{ni}(t) = \omega_{ni}(t; T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ be positive weight functions depending only on the design points $T_1, \ldots, T_n$. Assume $\{X_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ip})^T, T_i, Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, n,\}$ satisfy the model (1). $\hat{g}_\beta(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj}(t)(Y_j - X_j^T \beta)$ is just the nonparametric estimate of $g(t)$ for fixed $\beta$. Given the estimator $\hat{g}_\beta(t)$, an estimate of $\beta, \beta_n$, is obtained basing on $Y_i = X_i^T \beta + \hat{g}_\beta(T_i) + \epsilon_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Denote $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_n)^T$, $\tilde{X}_i = X_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj}(T_i)X_j$, $\tilde{Y} = (\tilde{Y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{Y}_n)^T$, $\tilde{Y}_i = Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj}(T_i)Y_j$. Then the estimate $\beta_n$ can be expressed as

$$\beta_n = (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T \tilde{Y}$$

In addition, the estimate of $\sigma^2$, $\sigma_n^2$ is naturally defined as

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i^T \beta_n - g_n(T_i))^2,$$
which is equal to \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{Y}_i - \tilde{X}^T_i \beta_n)^2 \). Where \( g_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(t)(Y_j - X_j^T \beta_n) \) is the estimate of \( g(t) \).

In the following we list the sufficient conditions for our main result.

**Condition 1.** There exist functions \( h_j(\cdot) \) defined on \([0, 1]\) such that

\[
x_{ij} = h_j(T_i) + u_{ij} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p
\]

where \( u_{ij} \) is a sequence of real numbers which satisfy \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i = 0 \) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i u_i^T = B
\]

is a positive definite matrix, and

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_i \right| < \infty
\]

holds, where \( u_i = (u_{i1}, \ldots, u_{ip})^T \) and \( a_n = n^{5/6} \log^{-1} n \).

**Condition 2.** \( g(\cdot) \) and \( h_j(\cdot) \) are Lipschitz continuous of order 1.

**Condition 3.** The weight functions \( \omega_{ni}(\cdot) \) satisfy the following:

(i) \( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i) = O(1) \),

(ii) \( \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \omega_{ni}(T_j) = O(b_n) \),

(iii) \( \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i) I(|T_j - T_i| > c_n) = O(c_n) \),

where \( b_n = n^{-2/3}, \ c_n = n^{-1/3} \log n \).

These conditions are not more complicated than that given in related literature. They are usually needed for establishing asymptotic normality for the estimators of the parameters. Specifically, imposing Condition 1 in that we can lead \( 1/n \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \) converges to \( B \). In fact, (2) of Condition 1 is parallel to the case

\[
h_j(T_i) = E(x_{ij}|T_i) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{ij} = x_{ij} - E(x_{ij}|T_i)
\]

when \( (X_i, T_i) \) are random variables. (3) is similar to the result of the strong law of large numbers for random errors. (4) is similar to the law of the iterated logarithm. More detailed discussions may be found in Speckman (1988) and Gao et al. (1995).

The weight functions satisfied the above condition 3 are presented in Liang, Härdle and Werwatz (1997). Interested readers please find them there.
2 BOOTSTRAP APPROXIMATIONS AND MAIN RESULT

The statistics $\beta_n$ and $\sigma^2_n$ have asymptotic standard normal distributions under mild assumptions. Our simulation studies indicate that the normal approximation does not work very well for small samples. Therefore in this section we propose a bootstrap method as an alternative to the normal asymptotic method.

In the semiparametric regression model the observable column $n$–vector $\hat{\epsilon}$ of residuals is given by

$$\hat{\epsilon} = Y - G_n - X^T \beta_n$$

where $G_n = \{g_n(T_1), \ldots, g_n(T_n)\}^T$. Denote $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i$. Let $\hat{F}_n$ be the empirical distribution of $\hat{\epsilon}$, centered at the mean, so $\hat{F}_n$ puts mass $1/n$ at $\hat{\epsilon}_i - \mu_n$ and $\int xd\hat{F}_n(x) = 0$. Given $Y$, let $\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_n^*$ be conditional independent with common distribution $\hat{F}_n$; let $\epsilon^*$ be the $n$–vector whose $i$th component is $\epsilon_i^*$, and let

$$Y^* = X^T \beta_n + G_n + \epsilon^*.$$  

Informally, $\epsilon^*$ is obtained by resampling the centered residuals. And $Y^*$ is generated from the data, using the regression model with $\beta_n$ as the vector of parameters and $\hat{F}_n$ as the distribution of the disturbance terms $\epsilon^*$.

So we have reason to define the estimates of $\beta$ and $\sigma^2$ as follows, respectively,

$$\beta_n^* = (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \bar{Y}^*$$  

and  

$$\sigma^2_n^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* - \bar{X}_i^T \beta_n^*)^2,$$

where $\bar{Y}_i^* = Y_i^* - \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{n,j}(T_i) Y_j^*$, $\bar{Y}^* = (\bar{Y}_1^*, \ldots, \bar{Y}_n^*)^T$.

The bootstrap principle is that the distributions of $\sqrt{n}(\beta_n^* - \beta_n)$ and $\sqrt{n}(\sigma^2_n^* - \sigma_n^2)$, which can be computed directly from the data, approximate the distributions of $\sqrt{n}(\beta_n - \beta)$ and $\sqrt{n}(\sigma_n^2 - \sigma^2)$, respectively. As will be shown later, this approximation is likely to be very good, provided $n$ is large enough. This fact is stated as the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose conditions 1–3 hold. If $Ee_1^4 < \infty$ and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \| u_i \| \leq C_0 < \infty$. Then

$$\sup_x \left| P^*\{\sqrt{n}(\beta_n^* - \beta_n) < x \} - P\{\sqrt{n}(\beta_n - \beta) < x \} \right| \to 0 \quad (5)$$
and

\[
\sup_x \left| P^* \{ \sqrt{n} (\sigma_n^2 - \sigma_n^2) < x \} - P \{ \sqrt{n} (\sigma_n^2 - \sigma^2) < x \} \right| \to 0
\]  

(6)

where and below \( P^* \) and \( E^* \) denote the conditional probability and conditional expectation given \( Y \).

Now, we outline our proof of the theorem. First we decompose \( \sqrt{n} (\beta_n - \beta) \) and \( \sqrt{n} (\beta_n^* - \beta_n) \) into three terms, and \( \sigma_n^2 \) and \( \sigma_n^{2*} \) into five terms, respectively. Then we will calculate the tail probability value of each term. Some additional notations are introduced. \( \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)^T \), \( \bar{\epsilon} = (\bar{\epsilon}_1, \ldots, \bar{\epsilon}_n)^T \), \( \bar{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj}(T_i) \epsilon_j \), \( \bar{g}_i = g(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{nk}(T_i) g(T_k) \), \( \bar{G} = (\bar{g}_1, \ldots, \bar{g}_n)^T \). We have from the definitions of \( \beta_n \) and \( \beta_n^* \), and \( \sigma_n^2 \) and \( \sigma_n^{2*} \):

\[
\sqrt{n} (\beta_n - \beta) = \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} (\bar{X}^T \bar{G} + \bar{X}^T \bar{\epsilon})
\]

\[
= \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \bar{g}_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \{ \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj}(T_i) \epsilon_j \} + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \epsilon_i \right]
\]

\[
def n (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} (H_1 - H_2 + H_3).
\]

\[
\sqrt{n} (\beta_n^* - \beta_n) = \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} (\bar{X}^T \bar{G}_n^* + \bar{X}^T \bar{\epsilon}^*)
\]

\[
= \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \bar{g}_n^* - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \{ \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{nj}(T_i) \epsilon_j^* \} + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \epsilon_i^* \right]
\]

\[
def n (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} (H_1^* - H_2^* + H_3^*).
\]

Where \( \bar{G}_n^* = (\bar{g}_n^1, \ldots, \bar{g}_n^n)^T \) with \( \bar{g}_n^i = g_n(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{nk}(T_i) g_n(T_k) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).

\[
\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \bar{Y}^T \{ I - \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \} \bar{Y}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^T \epsilon - \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^T \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \epsilon + \frac{1}{n} \bar{G}^T \{ I - \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \} \bar{G}
\]

\[
- \frac{2}{n} \bar{G}^T \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \epsilon + \frac{2}{n} \bar{G}^T \epsilon
\]

\[
def I_{1} - I_{2} + I_{3} - 2I_{4} + 2I_{5}.
\]

\[
\sigma_n^{2*} = \frac{1}{n} \bar{Y}^{*T} \{ I - \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \} \bar{Y}^{*}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{*T} \epsilon^{*} - \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{*T} \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \epsilon^{*} + \frac{1}{n} \bar{G}_n^{*T} \{ I - \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \} \bar{G}_n^{*}
\]

\[
- \frac{2}{n} \bar{G}_n^{*T} \bar{X} (\bar{X}^T \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}^T \epsilon^{*} + \frac{2}{n} \bar{G}_n^{*T} \epsilon^{*}
\]

\[
def I_{1}^* - I_{2}^* + I_{3}^* - 2I_{4}^* + 2I_{5}^*.
\]
Here $I$ is the identity matrix of order $p$. The following sections will prove that $H_1 j, H_2 j = o_P(1)$ and $H_1 j, H_2 j = o_P(1)$ and $I_1 = o_P(n^{-1/2})$ and $I_2 = o_P(n^{-1/2})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, p$ and $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

We have up to now showed that the bootstrap method performs as least as well as the normal approximation with the error rate of $o_P(1)$ and $o(1)$, respectively. It is natural to expect that the bootstrap method should perform better than this however. Indeed, our numerical experience means that it is case. In fact, it is also true analytically as is shown in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let $M_j(\beta) [(\sigma^2)]$ and $M_j^*(\beta) [(\sigma^2)]$ be the $j$-th moments of $\sqrt{n}(\beta_n - \beta)$ $[(\sqrt{n}(\sigma^2_n - \sigma^2))]$ and $\sqrt{n}(\beta_n - \beta_n) [(\sqrt{n}(\sigma^2_n - \sigma^2))]$, respectively. Then under the conditions $t-3$ and $E_{\mathcal{C}_i} < \infty$ and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|u_i\| < C_0 < \infty$,

$$M_j^*(\beta) - M_j(\beta) = O_P(n^{-1/3} \log n) \quad \text{and} \quad M_j^*(\sigma^2) - M_j(\sigma^2) = O_P(n^{-1/3} \log n)$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

The proof of theorem 2 can be completed by the arguments of Liang (1994) and similar procedures behind. We omit the details.

Theorem 2 indicates that the bootstrap distributions have much better approximation for the first four moments for $\beta_n^*$ and $\sigma_n^2$, which are most important quantities in characterizing distributions. Indeed, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 given later, one can only obtain that

$$M_j^*(\beta) - M_j(\beta) = o_P(1) \quad \text{and} \quad M_j^*(\sigma^2) - M_j(\sigma^2) = O_P(1)$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, in contrast to Theorem 2.

### 3 NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we present a small simulation study in order to illustrate the finite sample behavior of the estimator. We investigate the model

$$Y_i = X_i \beta + g(T_i) + \epsilon_i \quad (7)$$

where $g(T_i) = \sin(T_i), \beta = (1, 5)'$ and $\epsilon_i \sim Uniform(-0.3, 0.3)$. The independent variables $X_i = (X_i^{(1)}, X_i^{(2)})$ and $T_i$ are realizations of a $Uniform(0, 1)$ distributed random variable. We analyze sample sizes of 30, 50, 100 and 300. For nonparametric fitting, we use a Nadaraya-Watson kernel weight function with Epanechnikov kernel. We performed the smoothing with
Sample size $n=30$

standardized observations

Figure 1: Plot of the smoothed bootstrap density (lines), the normal approximation (stars) and the smoothed true density (vertical lines).

Different bandwidths using some grid search. Thereby it turned out that the results for the parametric part are quite robust against the bandwidth chosen in the nonparametric part. In the following we present only the simulation results for the parameter $\beta_2$, those for $\beta_1$ are similar.

In figures 1 to 4 we plotted the smoothed densities of the estimated true distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)/\hat{\sigma}$ with $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \bar{X}_i^T \beta_n)^2$ for sample sizes 30, 50, 100, 300. Additionally we added to these plots the corresponding bootstrap distributions and the asymptotic normal distributions, where we estimated the asymptotic variance $\sigma^2 B^{-1}$ by $\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{B}^{-1}$ with $\hat{B} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_i^T \bar{X}_i$ and $\sigma^2$ defined above. It turns out that the bootstrap distribution and the asymptotic normal distribution well approximates the true one even for moderate sample sizes of $n = 30$.

4 SOME LEMMAS

Under the conditions of Theorem 1, Gao et al. (1995) obtained asymptotic normalities of $\beta_n$ and $\sigma_n^2$ and convergence rate of $g_n$, which are given in Lemma 1. Lemma 2 presents the limit of $1/n \bar{X}_i^T \bar{X}_i$. Its proof is referred to Chen (1988) and Speckman (1988). Lemma 3 provides the boundedness for $g(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_n k(T_i) g(T_k)$ and $\bar{g}^*_n(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_n k(T_i) \bar{g}^*_n(T_k)$, whose proof is immediate. Lemma 4 shows that $\sqrt{n} H_{1j}$ and $\sqrt{n} H_{1j}^*$ are $O(n^{1/3} \log n)$ in different probability senses. Lemma 5 gives a general result for nonparametric regression,
Figure 2: Plot of the smoothed bootstrap density (lines), the normal approximation (stars) and the smoothed true density (vertical lines).

Figure 3: Plot of the smoothed bootstrap density (lines), the normal approximation (stars) and the smoothed true density (vertical lines).
whose proof is strongly based on an exponential inequality for bounded independent random variables, that is, Bernstein’s inequality. It will be used in the remainder of this section.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then

\[ \sqrt{n}(\beta_n - \beta) \to N(0, \sigma^2 B^{-1}), \quad \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |g_n(t) - g(t)| = O_p(n^{-1/3} \log n), \]  

(8)

and

\[ \sqrt{n}(\sigma_n^2 - \sigma^2) \to N(0, \text{Var}(\epsilon_1^2)) \]  

(9)

**Lemma 2.** If conditions 1-3 hold. Then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} = B. \]

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that conditions 2 and 3 (iii) hold. Then

\[ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| g(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{n,k}(T_i) g(T_k) \right| = O(n^{-1/3} \log n) \]

\[ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \tilde{g}^*_n(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{n,k}(T_i) \tilde{g}^*_n(T_k) \right| = O_P(n^{-1/3} \log n) \]

The same conclusion as the first part holds for \( h_j(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{n,k}(T_i) h_j(T_k) \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, p \).

**Lemma 4.** Suppose conditions 1-3 hold and \( E|\epsilon_1|^3 < \infty \). Then

\[ \sqrt{n} H_{1j} = O(n^{1/2} \log^{-1/2} n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{n} H_{1j}^* = O(n^{1/2} \log^{-1/2} n) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, p \]  

(10)
Proof. Their proofs can be completed by the same methods for Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 of Liang (1996). We omit the details.

(Bernstein’s Inequality) Let \( V_1, \ldots, V_n \) be independent random variables with zero means and bounded ranges: \( |V_i| \leq M \). Then for each \( \eta > 0 \),

\[
P\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i \right| > \eta \} \leq 2 \exp\left\{ -\eta^2 / [2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{var} V_i + M\eta] \right\}.
\]

Lemma 5. Assume that condition 3 holds. Let \( V_i \) be independent with mean zero and \( EV_i^4 < \infty \). Then

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{nk}(T_i)V_k \right| = O_P(n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2} n).
\]

Proof. Denote \( V_j' = V_j I_{\{ |V_j| \leq n^{1/4} \}} \) and \( V_j'' = V_j - V_j' \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). Let \( M = Cb_n n^{1/4} \).

From Bernstein’s inequality

\[
P\left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i)(V_j' - EV_j') \right| > C_1 n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2} n \right\} \leq 2n \exp\left\{ -\frac{C_1 n^{-1/2} \log^{-1} n}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i)EV_j'^2 + 2c_n b_n \log^{-1/2} n} \right\} \leq 2n \exp\{ -C_1^2 C \log n \} \leq C n^{-1/2} \quad \text{for some large } C_1 > 0.
\]

This and Borel-Cantelli Lemma imply that

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i)(V_j' - EV_j') \right| = O_P(n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2}). \tag{11}
\]

On the other hand, from condition 3(ii), we know

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i)(V_j'' - EV_j'') \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\omega_{nk}(T_i)| \sum_{j=1}^{n} |V_j| = O_P(n^{-2/3})
\]

and

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i)EV_j'' \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\omega_{nk}(T_i)| \sum_{j=1}^{n} n^{-1} E|V_j|^4
\]

\[
\leq C n^{-2/3} \log n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E|V_i|^4 = o(n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2} n). \tag{12}
\]
Combining the results of (11) to (12), we obtain
\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{nk}(T_i)V_k \right| = O_P(n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2} n). \tag{13}
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

**Lemma 6.** Suppose conditions 1-3 hold and \( E|c_1|^3 < \infty \). Then
\[
\sqrt{n}H_{2j} = o(n^{1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{n}H^*_j = o(n^{1/2}) \quad \text{for} \ j = 1, \ldots, p
\]

**Proof.** Denote \( h_{nij} = h_j(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{nk}(T_i)h_j(T_k) \). Observe the fact,
\[
\sqrt{n}H_{2j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{x}_{kij}\omega_{mi}(T_k) \right\} \epsilon_i
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{kij}\omega_{mi}(T_k) \right\} \epsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{nij}\omega_{mi}(T_k) \right\} \epsilon_i
\]
\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{q=1}^{n} u_{kij}\omega_{mq}(T_k) \right\} \omega_{mi}(T_k) \right\} \epsilon_i
\]

Using conditions 3 (i) and (ii) and the remark in Lemma 3, we can deal with each term as (13) by letting \( V_i = \epsilon_i \) in Lemma 5. The above each item can be proved to be \( o_P(n^{1/2}) \) by using Lemma 5 and the argument for proving Lemma 5. The same technique is also suggested to \( \sqrt{n}H^*_j \). We omit the details.

**Lemma 7.** Under the conditions of Lemma 5. \( I_n = o_p(n^{1/2}) \), where
\[
I_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \omega_{nij}(T_i)(V_j' - EV_j')(V_i' - EV_i').
\]

**Proof.** Let \( j_n = \left[n^{2/3}\log^2 n \right] \), ( \([a]\) denotes the integer portion of \( a \).) \( A_j = \left\{ \left[\frac{j-1}{j_n}\right] + 1, \ldots, \left[\frac{j}{j_n}\right] \right\} \), \( A_j^c = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} - A_j \) and \( A_{ji} = A_j - \{i\} \). Observe that \( I_n \) can be decomposed as follows,
\[
I_n = \sum_{j=1}^{j_n} \sum_{i \in A_j} \sum_{k \in A_{ji}} \omega_{nk}(T_i)(V_k' - EV_k')(V_i' - EV_i')
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{j_n} \sum_{i \in A_j} \sum_{k \in A_j^c} \omega_{nk}(T_i)(V_k' - EV_k')(V_i' - EV_i')
\]
\[
\overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{j_n} U_{nij} + \sum_{j=1}^{j_n} V_{nij}
\]
\[
\overset{\text{def}}{=} I_{1n} + I_{2n}.
\]
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Denote the numbers of the elements in $A_j$ by $|A_j|$. Let $U_{nj} = \sum_{i \in A_j} p_{nij}(V_i' - EV_i') \overset{def}{=} \sum_{i \in A_j} u_{nij}$, and $V_{nj} = \sum_{i \in A_j} q_{nij}(V_i' - EV_i') \overset{def}{=} \sum_{i \in A_j} v_{nij}$.

Notice that $\{v_{nij}, i \in A_j\}$ are conditionally independent random variables given $E_{nj} = \{V_k, k \in A_j\}$ with $E(v_{nij}|E_{nj}) = 0$ and $E(v_{nij}^2|E_{nj}) \leq \sigma^2(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |q_{nij}|^2) \overset{def}{=} \sigma^2 q_{nj}^2$ for $i \in A_j$, and satisfy $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |v_{nij}| \leq 2n^{1/4}q_{nj}$ for $q_{nj} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |q_{nij}|$.

On the other hand, by the same reason as that for Lemma 5,

$$ q_n = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |q_{nj}| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{k \in A_j} \omega_{nk}(T_i)(V_k' - EV_k') \right| $$

$$ = O_P(n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2} n), $$

Denote the numbers of the elements in $A_j$ by $|A_j|$. By applying Bernstein’s inequality, we have, for $j = 1, \ldots, j_n$,

$$ P\{ |V_{nj}| > \frac{C \sqrt{n}}{\log n j_n} |E_{nj}| \} \leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{C n (\log^{-1} n) j_n^{-2}}{\sigma^2 q_{nj}^2 |A_j| + j_n^{-1} n^{1/4} q_{nj}} \right\} \leq C n^{-1/2}. $$

It follows from the bounded dominant convergence theorem, the above fact and $|A_j| \leq \frac{n}{j_n}$ that

$$ P\{ |V_{nj}| > \frac{C \sqrt{n}}{\log n j_n} \} \leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{C j_n^{-2}}{\sigma^2 q_{nj}^2 j_n^{-1} + j_n^{-1} n^{1/4} q_{nj}} \right\} \leq C n^{-1/2}, \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, j_n. $$

Then

$$ I_{2n} = o_P(\sqrt{n}). \quad (15) $$

Now we consider $I_{1n}$. Note that $\{V_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ are i.i.d. random variables, and the definition of $U_{nj}$, we know that

$$ P\{ |I_{1n}| > C \sqrt{n (\log^{-1/2} n)} \} \leq C n (\log^{-1} n) E \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{j_n} U_{nj} \right\}^2 $$
More precisely, let \( V \) be the vector of \( W \) with \( \epsilon_i = 0 \) that maximizes the following expression:

\[
\max_{\epsilon} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \mathbb{E}U_{nj}^2 + \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} \mathbb{E}U_{nj_1j_2}^2 \right)
\]

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove (5). From (10) and Lemma 6, we only need to prove \( \sqrt{n}(\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T e^* \) converges in distribution to a \( k \)-variate normal random variate with mean 0 and covariance matrix \( \sigma^2 B^{-1} \).

Let \( q_{ii} \) be the \( i \)th diagonal element of the matrix \( \hat{X}(\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T \). According to proposition 2.2 of Huber (1973), if we know \( \max_i q_{ii} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \), then \( \sqrt{n}(\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T e^* \) is asymptotically normal. Since the covariance matrix of \( \sqrt{n}(\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T e^* \) is given by \( n(\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} f u^2 d F_n(u) \). Recall the definition of \( F_n(u) \) and the result given in Lemma 2, the asymptotic variance of \( \sqrt{n}(\beta_n^* - \beta) \) is \( \sigma^2 B^{-1} \).

We now prove \( \max_i q_{ii} \to 0 \). Since \( n^{-1}(\hat{X}^T \hat{X}) \to B \) by Lemma 2, it follows from Lemma 3 of Wu (1981) that \( \max_i q_{ii} \to 0 \). This completes the proof of (5).

Next, we will prove (6). First we continue to give the following preliminary results. In Lemma 5, let \( V_i \) be \( e_i^* \), \( E \) and \( P \) be \( E^* \) and \( P^* \), then we have

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{nk}(T_i) e_k^* \right| = O_{P^*}(n^{-1/4} \log^{-1/2} n).
\]

This and Lemma 3 and the fact

\[
|\sqrt{n} I_3^*| \leq C \sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \left| g_n(T_i) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{nk}(T_i) g_n(T_k) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{nk}(T_i) e_k^* \right|^2 \right)
\]

lead that \( |\sqrt{n} I_3^*| = o_{P^*}(1) \).

Using the similar arguments as for proving \( \sqrt{n}(\hat{X}^T \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}^T e^* \to N(0, \sigma^2 B^{-1}) \), one can conclude that

\[
\sqrt{n} I_2^* = o_{P^*}(1), \quad \sqrt{n} I_4^* = o_{P^*}(1).
\]

Now, we consider \( I_5^* \). We decompose \( I_5^* \) into three terms, and prove each term tends to zero. More precisely,

\[
I_5^* = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{g}_n^* e_i^* - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_n(T_k) e_k^* - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \neq i} \omega_n(T_k)e_i^* e_k^* \right\}
\]
\begin{equation}
I_{51}^* = I_{52}^* + I_{53}^*,
\end{equation}

From Lemma 3, using the strong law of large number to $\epsilon_i^*$, we have

\begin{equation}
\sqrt{n}I_{51}^* = o_P^*(1),
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\sqrt{n}I_{52}^* \leq b_n \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i^{*2} = O_P^*(\log^{-2} n) = o_P^*(1).
\end{equation}

Let $I_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \omega_{nj}(T_i)(\epsilon_j^u - E\epsilon_j^u)(\epsilon_i^u - E\epsilon_i^u)$. Observe

\begin{equation}
\sqrt{n}|I_{53}^*| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \neq i} \omega_{nk}(T_i)\epsilon_i^* \epsilon_k^* - I_n^* + I_n^* \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(J_n^* + I_n^*).
\end{equation}

Simple calculations show that

\begin{equation}
J_n^* \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i) \epsilon_i^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\epsilon_i^{*u}| + E|\epsilon_i^{*u}| \right) \right|
+ \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{nj}(T_i)(\epsilon_i^u - E\epsilon_i^u) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\epsilon_i^{*u}| + E|\epsilon_i^{*u}| \right) \right|
= o_P^*(1)
\end{equation}

By letting $\epsilon_i^*$ be $V_i$, $E^*$ and $P^*$ be $E$ and $P$ in Lemma 7 respectively, we have $I_n^* = O_P^*(\sqrt{n})$. It follows that

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} I_{53}^* = o_P^*(1).
\end{equation}

A combination (18)-(20) leads that $\sqrt{n}I_{5}^* = o_P^*(1)$.

From the above arguments and the third result of Lemma 1, the proof of (6) is equivalent to show

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\epsilon^T \epsilon^* - \int u^2 \hat{F}_n(u)) \rightarrow N(0, Var(\epsilon_1^2)),
\end{equation}

which can be verified by the central limit theorem. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.
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